

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENNETH WAYNE TONEY,

Defendants.

Case No. 24-CR-370-JFH

OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court is an Unopposed Motion to Continue Pretrial Conference, Deadlines, and Trial Docket to June Docket (“Motion”) filed by Defendant Kenneth Wayne Toney (“Defendant”). Dkt. No. 18. The Motion states the United States of America (“Government”) does not oppose the requested continuance. *Id.* at 1. For the reasons set forth below, the Motion is GRANTED.

The Motion falls under § 3161(h)(7)(A) of the Speedy Trial Act. This section permits a federal district court to exclude any period of delay resulting from a continuance if “the judge granted such continuance on the basis of his findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.” 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). A court must orally or in writing set forth its reasons for granting an ends of justice continuance and make findings that a continuance is in the best interest of the defendant and the public. *Id.* The statute permits a court to consider whether “the failure to grant such a continuance ... would deny counsel for the defendant ... the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.” *Id.* at § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Tenth Circuit has been clear that an ends of justice continuance should not be granted “cavalierly” and it was intended to be a “rarely used tool.” *United States v. Williams*, 511 F.3d 1044, 1048-49 (10th Cir. 2007).

In *United States v. Toombs*, 574 F.3d 1262 (10th Cir. 2009), the Tenth Circuit limited the circumstances in which an ends of justice continuance can be granted and emphasized that this should be a rarely used procedural tool. The parties must provide the district court a sufficient record to determine why the facts stated in a motion for continuance “result[] in the need for additional time.” *Id.* at 1271. This requires the parties to provide specific information about the need for a continuance, and the district court may need to hold a hearing before granting an ends of justice continuance. *Id.* at 1272-73. A district court must also give significant weight to the public’s interest in a speedy trial, and the public’s interest is generally served by strict adherence to the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. *Id.* at 1273.

Defendant requests additional time because Defendant requires further time for review of discovery and to conduct Defendant’s own investigation into the incidents alleged against Defendant. Dkt. No. 18. The Court finds Defendant has executed a speedy trial waiver, asking the Court to exclude any period of delay for an ends of justice continuance. Dkt. No. 18-1. In addition to considering Defendant’s interests, the Court has considered the public’s interest in the speedy resolution of criminal cases and finds that a limited ends of justice continuance will not subvert the public’s interest in the prompt prosecution of criminal matters.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Unopposed Motion to Continue Jury Trial filed at Dkt. No. 18 is GRANTED. The jury trial set for March 10, 2025 at 8:45 a.m. is stricken. The following amended scheduling order is hereby entered:

Joint status report regarding production of discovery filed: 5/01/2025

Notices filed: 5/1/2025

Including all notices required by FRCrP and FRE, including Rule 16, 404(b), 412, 413, 414 or 609

Motions to dismiss for insufficient indictment filed: 5/1/2025

Motions and Objections to Notices filed: 5/8/2025

Absent good cause, motions in limine shall be filed by this date

Motions for bill of particulars shall be filed pursuant to FRCrP 7(f)

Pretrial conference: 5/29/2025 at 9:30 am

Voir dire, jury instructions, stipulations, & trial briefs filed: 6/13/2025

Witness and exhibit lists exchanged between counsel and emailed to courtroom deputy (do not file): 6/18/2025 by 4:00 pm

Three hard-copy exhibit binders delivered to Court: 6/18/2025 by 4:00 pm

Jury trial: 6/23/2025 at 8:45 am

Due to the Court's multi-district caseload, this schedule is relatively inflexible.

Pursuant to LCrR 47-2, any response shall be filed within seven days of any motion's filing. Replies are not permitted without leave of Court. If leave is granted, replies shall be limited to five pages.

Only one omnibus motion in limine per party and one motion to suppress per defendant is permitted without leave of Court.

The Court shall summarily deny without prejudice any motion that does not comply with LCrR 47-4, which requires a motion state on the first page whether or not it is opposed and, if opposed, state whether concurrence was refused or explain why concurrence could not be obtained.

The parties should meet and confer in good faith to discuss any stipulations that would streamline the issues at trial. Any stipulations agreed to should be submitted by the date listed.

If the parties anticipate a lengthy pretrial/motion hearing, they are directed to promptly contact the Courtroom Deputy and the hearing will be reset.

If the parties anticipate a plea of guilty, they are directed to promptly contact the Courtroom Deputy and a change of plea hearing (separate from the pretrial docket call) will be set. The parties must provide a petition to enter plea of guilty and any plea agreement (if applicable) to the Court and file any superseding information (if applicable) at least three business days prior to the change of plea hearing.

The parties are encouraged to review the Court's [Chambers Rules](#).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from March 10, 2025, inclusive, to June 23, 2025, inclusive, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

Dated this 20th day of February 2025.


JOHN F. HEIL, III
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE